

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,233	05/17/2005	Tatsuro Ota	123934	5654
<sup>25944</sup> OLIFF & BER	7590 05/10/200° RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 199	28		BUTTNER, DAVID J	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1 7 27			•
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/535,233	OTA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		David Buttner	1712
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath o	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the leading of the leading of the leading of the drawing of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/17/05;8/10/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/535,233

Art Unit: 1712

Note that a restriction will be necessitated if additional claims are presented to species of dispersed polymer, species of blocking agent, species of crosslinker etc.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7,9,10 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is indecipherable. It is unclear how "either one" affects the claim as such a phrase infers a choice between two alternatives. It is not clear whether the materials are all alternatives to one another or if there are two lists of alternatives. What is the significance of separating some species with commas and some with semi-colons? The claim could also be interpreted as requiring all named species be present because "and" is used before the last species and Markush language was not employed. The must be rewritten in a clear unambiguous manner.

Claim 9's "(meth) acrylic" conventionally means "acrylic or methacrylic". Methacrylic is not acrylic (see Encyclopedia of Polymer Science). Even if one improperly considered "acrylic" to be a generic term that included methacrylics, one cannot use "acrylic" both in a generic sense and a narrower in the same claim.

Claim 10 and 14's "degree of polymerization of 1" does not result in a polymer.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,233

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1,3-6,8-12 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 1,3-6,8-12 and 14 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in applicant's comparative preparatory examples 6,9. In those examples, it is shown that lack of a crosslinker results in a poor product. Applicant's designation of these as "comparatives" indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the claims do not require the presence of a crosslinker.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 01/88009.

Ohno '147 is relied on as a translation.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,233

Art Unit: 1712

Ohno (table 1) exemplifies of blocked urethane prepolymer with acrylic particles, CaCO3, plasticizer, curing agent and CaO. The particles simultaneously qualify as both the polymer and acrylic resin of claim 12. The blocked prepolymer is derived from polyetherpolyol, diphenylmethanediisocyanate and an oxime blocking agent (col 6 line 38-55). The ratio of acrylic particles to urethane prepolymer is 20/1 – 1/20 (col 4 line 2).

Claims 1-6 and 8-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 01/88011.

Nakayama EP1283229 is relied on as a translation.

Nakayama (table 1) exemplifies of blocked urethane prepolymer with acrylic particles, CaCO3, plasticizer, curing agent and CaO. The particles simultaneously qualify as both the polymer and acrylic resin of claim 12. The blocked prepolymer is derived from polyetherpolyol, diphenylmethanediisocyanate and an oxime blocking agent (paragraph 19). The

Claims 1-9 and 11-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Huynh-Tran '969.

ratio of acrylic particles to urethane prepolymer is 20/1 - 1/20 (paragraph 13).

Huynh exemplifies (#6) blends of PMMA, polyol curing agents, and a urethane prepolymer based on toluenediisocyanate/polyetherpolyol/oxime blocking agent. The PMMA simultaneously qualify as both the polymer and acrylic resin of claim 12

Claims 1-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Huynh-Tran '918.

Art Unit: 1712

Huynh claims (#1) plastisols of a blocked urethane prepolymer, a curing agent and mixtures of PVC and acrylic polymer. PVC qualifies as claim 12's "polymer". The amount of polyurethane is 2-100,000 parts per 100 parts of the thermoplastics (col 9 line 41).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 571-272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-DAVID J. BUTTNER free). PRIMARY EXAMINER

David Buttner Pour Button

5/9/07